

REMARKS

In the application claims 1-13, 15-17, 22-26, and 31-33 remain pending. Claims 14, 18-21, and 27-30 have been canceled without prejudice. Independent claims 1, 11, and 22 have been amended to further clarify what is regarded as the invention. Claims 31-33 have been added by amendment. Support for the amendments is found in the application as originally filed. No new matter has been added.

All of the pending claims presently stand rejected.

The reconsideration of the rejection of the claims is respectfully requested.

The pending claims presently stand rejected in view of one or more of Walsh (U.S. Published Application No. 2003/0050058), Karaoguz (U.S. Published Application No. 2004/0029621), Sun (U.S. Published Application No. 2002/0137460), Striemer (U.S. Published Application No. 2003/0197607), Hunt (U.S. Patent No. 6,263,491), and Linnartz (U.S. Published Application No. 2002/0066018).

In response to the rejection of the claims, it is respectfully submitted that the cited references, whether considered alone or in combination, cannot be said to disclose, teach, or suggest the invention now set forth in the claims when the claims are considered “as a whole,” i.e., each and every element considering each and every word.

Considering Walsh, Walsh describes a dynamic content delivery system which is used to deliver user-selected songs over an audio system. To select which songs are to be delivered over the audio system, Walsh further describes that a Bluetooth connection may be established between a mobile phone and the content delivery system. As acknowledged in the Office Action, the mobile phone of Walsh relies *solely* upon a Bluetooth *transceiver* to exchange signals with the content delivery system.

Accordingly, Walsh cannot be said to disclose, teach, or suggest the claimed system or method which sets forth the use of a wireless receiver subsystem, i.e., a subsystem having *a wireless receiver*, and a wireless transceiver subsystem, i.e., a subsystem having *a wireless transceiver*, where the wireless transceiver subsystem is in communication with the wireless receiver subsystem. It will also be appreciated that, absent this disclosure, it also cannot be said that Walsh discloses, teaches, or suggests the now claimed system or method in which a wireless receiver subsystem responds to a signal received by *its wireless receiver* to cause a wireless transceiver subsystem to transition from a low power standby state to an active state during which the wireless transceiver subsystem uses *its wireless transceiver* to perform content synchronization with a sever computer.

As concerns the remaining cited references, it is believed that these references fail to contain any teachings that would suggest modifying the system of Walsh to arrive at that which is claimed.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that the art of record fails to either anticipate or render obvious the invention now set forth in the claims and the rejections of the currently pending claims must be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the application is in good and proper form for allowance. Such action of the part of the Examiner is respectfully requested.

While it is not believed that any fee is due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee deficiency to deposit account number 50-2428 in the name Greenberg Traurig.

Respectfully Submitted;

By: 

Gary R. Jarosik, Reg. No. 35,906
Greenberg Traurig, PC
77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 456-8449

Date: May 11, 2006